Case	3:08-cv-02135-MMA-NLS	Document 62	Filed 02/20/09	PageID.403	Page 1 of 2	
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11						
12	ROBERT CURTIS BASS,	INC.	CAS	E NO. 08CV21	35 MMA (NLS)	
13		Plaintif			NG PLAINTIFF'S	
14	VS.		ROB	REQUEST TO SUBSTITUTE ROBERT CURTIS BASS, INC. AS PLAINTIFF IN THIS ACTION		
15	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	, et al.,			IIS ACTION	
16	[Doc. No. 55] Defendants.					
17	On February 9, 2009, Plaintiff Robert Curtis Bass filed a Motion to Amend his First					
18	Amended Complaint ("FAC"). [Doc. No. 55]. In the motion, Plaintiff seeks to amend the					
19	complaint for the sole purpose of having the Court and Defendants acknowledge that the above-					
20	entitled action was "initially filed with the Court on behalf of the Plaintiff as a corporate party and					
21	its' [sic] legal representative was and is authorized to act as the Attorney in Fact and Pro Querente					
22	(For the Plaintiff)." Mot. to Amend at 1:26–27. Plaintiff asserts that,					
23	The Court erred when it issued its [sic] order denying the Plaintiff's legal representative, Robert C Bass to act as the authorized and assigned 'Attorney in Fact' on behalf of the					
24	Plaintiff ROBERT CURTIS BASS, along with entering the Plaintiff's legal status as <i>Pro Se</i> in error and inadvertently issuing all judgments and previous orders under the					
25	presumption that Plaintiff's legal representative was also bringing the civil action in his own person and subsequently assuming that the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff's legal					
26	representative was allegedly practicing law without a license.					
27	Id. at 1:28–2:4. On February 10, 2009, the Court issued an Order that Plaintiff file the proposed					
28	Second Amended Complaint as an exhibit to the motion, reflecting the change in caption heading					

1	to "Robert Curtis Bass, Inc.". [Doc. No. 58]. Later that day, Plaintiff objected to the Court's order					
2	and refused to attach the proposed amended complaint. [Doc. No. 58]. Having considered the					
3	motion, and Plaintiff's assertions that the above-entitled action was filed originally on behalf of					
4	Robert Curtis Bass, Inc., a corporation, and not Robert Curtis Bass, an individual, the Court,					
5	having found good cause, GRANTS Plaintiff's request and HEREBY ORDERS the substitution					
6	of "Robert Curtis Bass, Inc." as Plaintiff in place of "Robert Curtis Bass." The Clerk of the Cour					
7	shall modify the docket to reflect "Robert Curtis Bass, Inc." in place of "Robert Curtis Bass."					
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
9	DATED: February 20, 2009					
10	Michael Tu- Chello					
11	Hon. Michael M. Anello					
12	United States District Judge					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						